Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 MOSCOW 04109 281415Z ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 AID-05 CIAE-00 COME-00 EB-08 FRB-03 INR-07 NSAE-00 USIA-06 TRSE-00 XMB-02 OPIC-03 SP-02 LAB-04 SIL-01 OMB-01 NSC-05 SS-15 STR-04 CEA-01 PRS-01 PA-01 /082 W

-----281611Z 008866 /46

R 281330Z MAR 77

FM AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 5589

INFO AMEMBASSY BELGRADE

AMEMBASSY BERLIN

USMISSION BERLIN

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY BUCHAREST

AMEMBASSY BUDAPEST

USMISSION NATO

USMISSION OECD PARIS

AMEMBASSY PRAGUE

AMEMBASSY SOFIA

AMEMBASSY WARSAW

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE MOSCOW 4109

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: ETRD, EEWT, UR, XX, US

SUBJECT: SOVIET FOREIGN TRADE IN 1976 REACHES 56.8 BILLION RUBLES

REF: 76MOSCOW19228

SUMMARY: SOVIET TRADE STATISTICS FOR 1976 SHOW THAT SOVIET EXPORT PERFORMANCE IMPROVED AT A GREATER RATE (16.6 PERCENT) THAN THE INCREASE IN IMPORTS (7.8 PERCENT). NEVERTHELESS, ACCORDING TO OUR CALCULATIONS, THE OVERALL HARD CURRENCY DEFICIT IN 1976 WAS \$4.934 BILLION, ALMOST HALF OF WHICH WAS ATTRIBUTABLE TO SOVIET DEFICIT IN BILATERAL TRADE WITH THE UNITED STATES. THIS WAS, HOWEVER, AN IMPROVEMENT OVER LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 MOSCOW 04109 281415Z

1975, WHEN SOVIET HARD CURRENCY DEBT WAS \$6.3 BILLION. IN GLOBAL TERMS, THERE WAS A SOVIET DEFICIT IN TRADE WITH DEVELOPED COUNTRIES OF 2,992.1 MILLION RUBLES (\$3,949.6 MILLION AT AVERAGE RATE OF EXCHANGE OF 1 RUBLE - \$1.32), AND SURPLUSES IN TRADE WITH "SOCIALIST" COUNTRIES OF 1,338.7 MILLION RUBLES (\$1,767.1MILLION) AND WITH LDC'S OF 913.1 MILLION RUBLES (\$1,1196.2 MILLION). THUS SOVIET STATISTICS SHOW AN OVERALL

DEFICIT OF 740.3 MILLION RUBLES (\$977.2 MILLION). END SUMARY.

- 1. RECENTLY PUBLISHED OFFICIAL SOVIET STATISTICS FOR TRADE BY COUNTRIES SHOW THAT SOVIET FOREIGN TRADE GREW BY 12 PERCENT IN 1976 TO REACH 56.8 BILLION RUBLES (\$75.0 BILLION) AND ALTHOUGH THE OVERALL DEFICIT WAS 740.3 MILLION RUBLES (\$977.2 MILLION), IMPROVED EXPORT PERFORMANCE (PLUS16.6 PERCENT) PLUS SLOWER GROWTH IN OMPORTS (PLUS 7.8 PERCENT) IMPROVED THE OVERALL BALANCE OVER 1975 RESULTS, WHEN DEFICIT WAS 2.7 BILLION RUBLES. THE SOVIETS RUN SIZEABLE SURPLUSES WITH OTHER "SOCIALIST" COUNTRIES (1,338.7 MILLION RUBLES) AND LDC'S (913.1 MILLION RUBLES). THERE WAS A DEFICIT OF 2,992.1 MILLION RUBLES IN SOVIET TRADE WITH DC'S. WHEN ADJUSTMENTS ARE MADE ON BASIS OF WHETHER TRADE IS IN CLEARING ACCOUNT OR HARD CURRENCY, A HARD CURRENCY DEFICIT OF 3,738.2 MILLION RUBLES (\$4,934.4 MILLION) EMERGES. THIS WAS, HOWEVER, SIGNIFICANTLY LESS THAN THE HARD CURRENCY DEFICIT OF \$6.3 BILLION FOR 1975.
- 2. TRAD WITH DEVELOPED COUNTRIES. THE UNITED STATES TOOK SECOND PLACE IN 1976 AMONG THE SOVIET UNION'S DEVELOPED CAPITALIST TRADING PARTNERS. US'S TRADE WITH SOVIET UNION GREW BY 37.7 PERCENT, WHEREAS USSR'S LEADING WESTERN TRADING PARTNER, WEST GERMANY, SAW ITS TRADE WITH THE USSR GROW BY ONLY 9.5 PERCENT. AMONG LEADING WESTERN TRADING PARTNERS, THE FOLLOWING ALSO EXPERIENCED RAPID GROWTH IN TRADE: FRANCE (30.9) UK (28.4) AND ITALY (24.2) THE UNITED STATES ENJOYED THE MOST FAVORABLE BALANCE IN ITS TRADE WITH THE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 MOSCOW 04109 281415Z

USSR (\$2,382.6 MILLION) WHILE THE FRG (\$1,073.4 MILLION),
JAPAN (\$823.8 MILLION) AND FRANCE (\$197.2 MILLION) ALSO
ENJOYED SURPLUSES. BOTH ITALY AND THE UK HAD DEFICITS, OF
\$483.0 MILLION AND \$551.2 MILLION RESPECTIVELY. WITH THE
EXCEPTION OF THE U.S. AND JAPAN, THE MORE RAPID GROWTH IN
SOVIET EXPORTS TO "ITS MOST IMPORTANT WESTERN TRADING
PARTNERS IMPROVED" THE BALANCE OF TRADE IN SOVIET FAVOR.
THIS MAY BE ATTRIBUTED TO IMPROVEMENT IN WESTERN ECONOMIES
LEADING TO INCREASED SOVIET EXPORTS AS WELL AS APPARENT
SOVIET EFFORT TO SLOW THE RATE OF INCREASE IN IMPORTS.
TOTAL SOVIET TRADE FIGURES IN MILLIONS OF RUBLES FOR ITS
MOST IMPORTANT WESTERN TRADING PARTNERS ARE AS FOLLOWS:
FRG (3148.4), US (2202.4), JAPAN (2120.9) FINLAND (1979.1),
ITALY (1772.7), FRANCE (1697.0) AND UK (1231.8).

3. TRADE WITH "SOCIALIST" COUNTRIES. SOVIET TRADE WITH THESE COUNTRIES GREW AT A LOWER RATE (10.5 PERCENT) THAN FOR OVERALL SOVIET TRADE. WITHIN THIS GROUP SOVIET TRADE WITH CEMA COUNTRIES GREW AT AN EVEN LOWER RATE (8.8 PERCENT). OF THE

SOVIET TRADE SURPLUS WITH COMMUNIST COUNTRIES, EUROPEAN MEMBERS OF CEMA ANCCOUNTED FOR 878.5 MILLION RUBLES. OF PARTICULAR INTEREST IS THE SOVIET SURPLUS IN TRAD WITH THE DDR OF 438.6 MILLION RUBLES AND WITH POLAND OF 265.2 MILLION RUBLES. THIS WOULD SEEM TO REPRESENT A SOVIET EFFORT TO EASE ECONOMIC PROBLEMS OF THESE COUNTRIES BY ALLOWING THEM TO RUN UP DEFICIT WITH USSR, THUS FREEING MORE OF THEIR RESOURCES FOR TRADE WITH WEST. THE ONLY EAST EUROPEAN MEMBER OF CEMA WHICH DID NOT RUN A DEFICIT WITH THE SOVIET UNION WAS ROMANIA, WHICH HAD A SURPLUS OF 59.5 MILLION RUBLES. COMMUNIST COUNTRIES ACCOUNTED FOR 55.6 PERCENT (56.3 IN 1975) OF ALL SOVIET TRADE, WITH CEMA COUNTRIES ACCOUNTING FOR 50.8 PERCENT (51.8 IN 1975). IF SOVIETS ARE TO MEET AN-NOUNCED FIVE YEAR PLAN GOAL OF INCREASING TO 61 PERCENT THE PROPORTION OF TRADE WITH "SOCIALIST" COUNTRIES, THIS TRADE MUST GROW AT MUCH HIGHER RATE IN REMAINING FOUR YEARS OF PLAN PERIOD.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 04 MOSCOW 04109 281415Z

4. TRADE WITH LDC'S. TRADE WITH LDC'S ACCOUNTED FOR ONLY 11.6 PERCENT OF SOVIET TRADE IN 1976. THIS REPRESENTED A VERY LOW GROWTH RATE OF ONLY 4.1 PERCENT, CONFIRMING OUR IMPRESSION THAT THIS TRADE HAS BEEN ASSIGNED A LOW PRIORITY IN THE SOVIET TRADE PLAN. ALTHOUGH THIS TRADE BROUGHT A SURPLUS OF 913.1 MILLION RUBLES TO THE SOVIET UNION, SOVIET DEFICITS WITH CERTAIN OF THESE COUNTRIES CONTRIBUTED HEAVILY TO THE SOVIET HARD CURRENCY TRADE DEFICIT: THE SOVIETS HAD A DEFICIT \$387.2 MILLION WITH BRAZIL AND \$282.1 MILLION WITH ARGENTINA.

5. COMMENT: SOVIET EXPORT AND IMPORT PERFORMANCE FORE-SHADOWED IN 9 MONTHS FIGURES (REFTEL) CONTINUED INTO FOURTH QUARTER. EXPORTS CONTINUED TO GROW MORE RAPIDLY THAN IMPORTS, AND THE OVERALL HARD-CURRENCY DEBT FOR 1976 WAS SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCED FROM PREVIOUS YEAR. TOON

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X

Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Concepts: DATA, FOREIGN TRADE

Control Number: n/a

Copy: SINGLE Sent Date: 28-Mar-1977 12:00:00 am Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am

Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW

Disposition Date: 22 May 2009 Disposition Event: Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977MOSCOW04109
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00

Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: N/A

Expiration: Film Number: D770105-1142 Format: TEL

From: MOSCOW Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19770316/aaaaanie.tel

Line Count: 157 Litigation Code IDs: Litigation Codes:

Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 226ea1b0-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Office: ACTION EUR

Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a

Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: 76 MOSCOW 19228

Retention: 0

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Content Flags: Review Date: 24-Jan-2005 12:00:00 am

Review Event: Review Exemptions: n/a **Review Media Identifier:** Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

SAS ID: 2977743 Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: SOVIET FOREIGN TRADE IN 1976 REACHES 56.8 BILLION RUBLES

TAGS: ETRD, EEWT, UR, XX, US

To: STATE Type: TE

vdkvgwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/226ea1b0-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Review Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009